

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/680,475	10/07/2003	Doug Wong	NOR-033 (16137RO)	5204
	7590 09/26/2007 DDRIGUEZ, LLP		EXAMINER	
5 MOUNT ROYAL AVENUE			NGUYEN, QUYNH H	
	AL OFFICE PARK JGH, MA 01752	ADTINI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2614	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/680,475	WONG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Quynh H. Nguyen	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY TO BE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY TO BE STATED THE MAILING IDENTIFY TO BE STATED THE MAILING IDENTIFY THE MAILING IDENTIFY TO BE STATED	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a rep d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed AS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 (October 2003.					
<u> </u>	,,					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 11 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/are 	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10/7/03 is/are: a) ☑ a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apportity documents have been read (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huth et al. (U.S. Patent 5,434,752).

As to claim 1, Huth et al. teach the steps of:

a housing (Fig. 1, 12);

a persistent memory for storing data (col. 4, lines 56-58) associated with a system having a backplane (Fig. 1, backplane 18); and

a module connector for coupling to and removal from the backplane connector (col. 4, lines 22-25), the module connector and the housing defining an enclosure surrounding the persistent memory, the module connector electrically connecting the persistent memory to the backplane connector (col. 4, lines 18-61).

As to claim 2, Huth et al. teaches the housing has an outer surface, (Fig. 1), and a ridged portion for grasping the system identification when coupled or removed from the backplane connector (col. 5, lines 16-30).

Page 3

As to claim 3, Huth et al. teaches a shelf processor controlling the programming of the memory and the reading of data from the memory (col. 4, line 45 through col. 5, line 2).

As to claim 8, Huth et al. teaches address information, data and power are transmitted to the memory over a single input pin (col. 4, lines 18-61).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huth et al. (U.S. Patent 5,434,752) in view of Kaiser et al. (US Patent 4,742,475).

As to claims 4-6, Huth et al. does not teach the persistent memory device is a 2pin erasable programmable read-only memory device.

Kaiser et al. teaches the memory device is a 2-pin erasable programmable readonly memory device (col. 15, lines 23-39).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Kaiser into the teachings of Huth for the purpose of having a more efficient system and unable to change the contents of the PROM.

Art Unit: 2614

5. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huth et al. (U.S. Patent 5,434,752).

As to claim 7, Huth et al. does not teach a partitioned memory configured to receive data according predefined data fields. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that memory are configured into partitioned for best stored and retrieval of data.

6. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huth et al. (U.S. Patent 5,434,752) in view of Cloutier et al. (US Patent 6,920,527).

As to claims 9-10, Huth et al. does not teach the module connector comprises an RS-232 serial connector.

Cloutier et al. teaches teach the module connector comprises a serial connector (col. 2, lines 34-50), and It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that serial connector is RS-232.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Cloutier into the teachings of Huth in order to have a more efficient and better system.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 11 is allowed.

As to claim 11, prior arts of record fail to teach, or render obvious, alone or in combination a communications shelf comprising: a backplane having a backplane

persistent memory to the backplane connector.

connector; a plurality of communications cards in communication with each other through the backplane; and a system identification module coupled to the backplane through the backplane connector, the system identification module comprising: a housing; a persistent memory for storing system specific data associated with the communications shelf; and a module connector for coupling to and removal from the backplane connector, the module connector and the housing defining an enclosure surrounding the persistent memory, the module connector electrically connecting the

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Andrews (US Patent 5,911,121) teaches method and apparatus for automatically configuring a control program for a mobile radio communication device.

Ehara (US Patent 5,414;753) teaches number assignment module setting system for portable telephone set.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quynh H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,475

Art Unit: 2614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on 571-272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Quynh H. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614

Sugah H. Ngruyen